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Abstract: Segmenting arabic manuscripts into text-lines and words is an important step to make recognition systems more efficient and accurate. The major problem making this task crucial is the word extraction process: first, words are often a succession of sub-words where the space value between these sub-words do not respect any rules. Second, the presence of connections even between non adjacent sub-words in the same text-line, makes word’s parts identification and the entire word extraction difficult. This work proposes an automatic system for arabic handwritten word extraction and recognition based on 1) localizing and segmenting touching characters, 2) extracting real sub-words and structural features from word images and 3) recognizing them by a Markovian classifier. The performance of the proposed system is tested using samples extracted from historical handwritten documents. The obtained results are encouraging. We achieved an average rate of recognition of 87%.

Keywords: Arabic handwriting recognition, Touching letters, Text-line Segmentation, Word Segmentation, Structural Feature Extraction, Word recognition, Hidden Markov Model.

Received: June 10, 2016 | Revised: June 25, 2016 | Accepted: August 25, 2016

1. Introduction

Many systems or off-line recognition of Arabic script have been proposed in the objective to transliterate large number of Arabic manuscripts into machine readable. But, a lot of problems are raised by Arabic handwriting recognition systems due to text-line inclination, touching words, overlaps, ligatures, irregular spaces between words, etc.

This work is an attempt to overcome some of these problems. We mainly focused on the problem of touching letters which are connected components produced when adjacent letters touch or overlap each other (see Fig. 1). As Arabic alphabet is composed of 28 letters where 21 of them are ascenders and/or descenders, many vertically touching or overlapping letters can be found, especially in unconstrained Arabic manuscripts with small inter-lines spacing or when we practice calligraphy where terminal letters are with big descending. Segmenting these ambiguous connected components means their separation into individual letters. To achieve that, we propose an automatic system for Arabic handwritten word extraction and recognition based on 1) localizing and segmenting touching letters, 2) extracting real sub-words and structural features from word images and 3) recognizing them by a Markovian classifier.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present some related works. In section 3, we detail the different steps followed by the proposed system. Experimental results are reported in section 4 and some conclusions are drawn in section 5.

Figure 1. Samples of touching letters in the used Arabic Manuscripts

2. Related Works

The recognition of Arabic handwriting strongly depends on accurate segmentation. As many researchers have emphasized either segment-free
based methods or letter or stroke based approaches, words segmentation has not been well addressed, especially for Arabic writing.

In [22], authors have presented a method for segmenting Arabic handwritten documents into text-lines and words. Text-line segmentation is addressed by the horizontal projection profile. This technique promotes the estimation of text-line spacing. Word extraction is based on an adaptation of a known method, gap metrics. The method exploits the membership values of a clustering algorithm to identify segmentation thresholds as "within word" or "between words" gaps. The proposed method is tested on the benchmarking datasets of Arabic handwritten text recognition research, and very promising results were achieved, with an 84.8% correct extraction rate.

In [23], authors proposed a statistical analysis to determine an optimal threshold for word segmentation. By using knowledge of potential positions of the baseline, more accurate results are obtained in comparison with those without knowledge support. A component-based method is introduced to segment words from handwritten text. As noted by the author, this method is useful and more flexible than segment-free based methods as it can make good use of the component parts of image in further recognition. It is also simpler and more robust than letter-based methods because the letter has much difficulty in effectively segmenting arbitrary handwritten characters.

In Arabic writing, it is difficult to separate words from each other, especially when people write with calligraphy. We think that distance information is very useful for segmenting words, but improvements still desirable.

3. Proposed System

The proposed system segments the used manuscripts into text-lines and recognizes words composing them. Below is a description of the different steps followed by our system.

3.1 Touching Letter Extraction

Text-lines are a sequence of connected components belonging to the same alignment. Touching words are connected components running simultaneity into two adjacent words or text-lines. Before touching letter extraction and segmentation, we applied Sauvola local image thresholding [6] followed by a morphological operation to restitute thinned letters.

Note that TLs can be horizontally/left-right, when they occur between successive letters or words of the same text-line or vertically/up-down, when they belong to consecutive text-lines.

To extract vertically/up-down TLs, we adapted the Ouwayed and Belaïd’s method [7] where each connected component $ccx$, belonging to two vertically adjacent text-lines, involve TLs of connected words or sub-words (see Fig. 2). To extract these TLs:

1. Baselines are detected from the input image,
2. A labeling process is accomplished and $ccx$ that belong simultaneously to both adjacent text-lines are localized,
3. The text-line skeletons are extracted sing Zhang and Suen thinning algorithm [8],
4. The junction point (pixel having at least three neighbors in skeleton images), near the minima axis (valley in the horizontal projection profile between the two connected text-lines which coincides with the middle line between their baselines), is localized,
5. Using the junction point as center, the TL’s connection zone is delimited where the TL’s width is the $W_{ccx}$ and the TL’s height is the $H_{ccx}$. For horizontally/left-right TLs, we propose a method based on curve convexity analysis. The proposed method includes three main steps: 1) Baseline extraction, 2) Text-line’s skeleton computing and 3) Junction point localization.

We find that most of touching words occur at terminal letters under text-line’s baselines in the used manuscripts. Text-line baselines are extracted as the maxima in the manuscript’s horizontal projection profile. To localize the TLs in words of the same text-line, the text-line lower part’s skeleton is extracted. The image’s skeleton is formed by several branches of thickness equal to one pixel around junction or intersection points. We note that junction point, resulting from an overlap between two disjoint letters, is usually associated to a convex curve turned to right. To discard junction points which do not correspond to TLs, only big branches were retained (ignoring diacritical and noise components). We then analyze the convexity of these branches. When a retained branch $B$ (a set of pixels) has not an imperfect convex, we will consider it as part of TL only if there is a sufficient number (an empirical threshold fixed to 310) of pixel’s couple $(x,y)$ that satisfies convexity equation. Let $X$ be a convex real vector space and let $B: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, $B$ is convex if $(x,y) \in X \times X$ and $\lambda \in [0; 1]: \ B(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq (\lambda B(x) + (1-\lambda)B(y)).$

We used 1500 text-lines to evaluate the TL detection. We found that in 94% of cases, the junction points which correspond to real horizontally TLs are well localized. We also achieved almost the same accuracy for vertically TLs. The main errors are due to the text-line’s skeleton computing step.
3.2 Touching Letter Segmentation
To segment found TLs, we approximate them to models stored in a codebook with their known segmentation (part A and part B composing respectively the first and the second letter). Thus, there are two steps before TLs can be segmented: 1) a recognition step to find the most similar model for an input TL and 2) an approximation step to estimate the transformation aligning the found model to the TL. Once the TL is aligned to its most similar model, we use and adjust the midpoints of the model’s parts to segment the TL.

**TL’s Model Recognition and Transformation:** In this step, the objective is to look for the most similar model for the TL to be segmented. Different TL’s models are stored in a codebook. For each model, we associate its two parts to it as references for TL segmentation. These models are organized into levels with a representative element for each group using a clustering algorithm [9]. To find the most similar model for an input TL, we compare these latter to all representative elements in the codebook, using a similarity metric computed from the shape context descriptor as proposed by Belongie [10]. This descriptor has the advantage to cover both steps of recognition and transformation since similarity is computed by solving the correspondence between shapes (TL and model) and estimating the aligning transform with the Thin Plate Spline (TPS) function [11].
3.4 Word Recognition

Since it is difficult to segment words into letters, we opted for a global approach. The idea is to extract structural features from word image and submit them to a Markovian classifier, as explained in the following subsections. We have implicitly divided the word image horizontally, into an upper and a lower band according to baseline and vertically, into three strips (begin, middle and end). These three portions representing the beginning, middle and the end of the word are not equal in size. The middle portion is twice the portion of the beginning and the end. This inequality is due to that in Arabic writing, the information is concentrated in the middle.

Feature Extraction: We extracted structural features which are intuitive aspects of writing, such as loops, stems, legs, diacritic signs because we believe that words can be represented by this type of features with tolerance to style variations and distortions. In fact, structural features are based on topological and geometrical properties and the handwritten Arabic character has no fixed pattern, but has fixed geometrical features. That is the shapes of handwritten Arabic characters differ between writers, but the geometrical features are always the same. Structural features may also encode some knowledge about word structure or may provide knowledge as to what sort of components make up that word.

Note that Arabic manuscripts reveal the complexity of the feature extraction, especially for the features choice (discontinuity of the writing, multiple connections of sub-word, complex ligatures, etc.). Due to variability, complexity and imprecision in Arabic handwriting, extracting structural features that represent words is a hard task. We proposed a method to extract some structural features, mainly loops, stems, legs, diacritic, considering their position in the word: at the beginning, in the middle or at the end, in the upper, central or lower bands. It is a free-segmentation method where the objective is to detect the presence of letters and to get a global vision of words while avoiding word segmentation problems.

To this end, we extracted the word’s baseline and deduced its upper, central and lower bands (see Fig. 6(a)). Afterwards, stems and loops are respectively extracted from the upper, the lower and the central bands. Diacritic signs are extracted from both upper and lower bands since they generally occur over and/or below the word’s baseline. Word description is then performed from right to left as a sequence of structural features gathered from each band. For further details, see [18].
Similarity = (1 − λ) * Recovery + λ * Configuration

We also used a second evaluation method based on Levenshtein distance which is a string metric for measuring the amount of difference between two sequences. This distance is defined as the minimum number of edits needed to transform one sequence into the other, with the allowable edit operations being insertion (case of feature extracted in superfluous), deletion (case of not extracted feature), or substitution (case of not correctly extracted feature) of a single feature. Table 2 displays some obtained results evaluated using the Recovery, Configuration and Similarity criteria, the Levenshtein distance, the Recall (the number of correctly extracted features, divided by the total number of features that must be extracted) and Precision values (the number of correctly extracted features, divided by the total number of extracted features).

Most of feature extraction errors can be attributed to the writing style and the poor quality of some data samples like:

- A group of two diacritic points can be written in the form of one or two related components. A group of three points may result in one, two or three related components depending on the writing style,
- A group of two or three letters is linked vertically in the beginning of the word,
- The same letter can be written in two different ways at the end of the word. Consequently the same word can be written in different ways.

**Word Modeling:** In this step, each word is modeled by a unique HMM. As Arabic is written from right to left, HMM’s topology is sequential from right to left as shown in figure Fig. 6(b). There are only three states where each state corresponds to a word’s region (the beginning, the middle and the end). The training step is performed by the Baum-Welch algorithm.

Note that when dealing with higher length words, we will see more structural features. Loop in the HMM structure tells about staying in the same state, which means that the proposed HMM takes care small and large words. Therefore, the HMM, could eliminate paths that are not promising early by computing probability from the first observations. To recognize a given word, its image is tested on all HMMS and it is assigned to the HMM class which gives the highest probability.

### 4. Experimentation

All proposed methods, described here, have been tested on a subset of the Tunisian National Archive collection and the IFN-ENIT [19]. We are especially interested by Arabic manuscripts of the 19th century which include Tunisian ancient personal names. To evaluate the word recognition method, we used a database containing 234 different words. Table 3 shows the basic allocation for some names.

### 5. Conclusion and Future Work

For manuscript segmentation into text-lines and words, we firstly proposed to extract touching letters between successive text-lines or words of the same text-line. Then, we segmented the TCs them with reference to a set of models, stored in a codebook with their prior-known segmentation, using shape context descriptor, an interpolation function: the thin plate spline transformation and the midpoints of the most similar model’s parts. For word recognition, we extracted some structural features from word’s image and trained a classic right-left Hidden Markov Model. Experiments are carried on a set of ancient Arabic manuscripts and the IFN-ENIT standard database. The obtained results are encouraging: an average recognition rate is around 87%. This opens opportunities in the field of text-line segmentation, feature extraction and selection and classifiers for Arabic manuscript recognition.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Features to be extracted</th>
<th>Recovered features</th>
<th>Recovery</th>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Similarity</th>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>Distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>إسمى</td>
<td>qppbAnnlj</td>
<td>qppbAnnlj</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>oui</td>
<td>9.9 =1</td>
<td>9.9=1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>بحث</td>
<td>cDvlj</td>
<td>cDvlj</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>9.9 =1</td>
<td>9.9=1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>فحص</td>
<td>qDlD</td>
<td>qDlD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>3/3 =1</td>
<td>3/3=1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>طالب</td>
<td>qiil</td>
<td>qiim</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>قارئ</td>
<td>qjCee</td>
<td>qjzees</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4/5=0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>مار</td>
<td>qA</td>
<td>qA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>موقع</td>
<td>Sszygmd</td>
<td>zygmd</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>5/5=0.73</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>نايف</td>
<td>pbwzd</td>
<td>pbwzd</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>جزء</td>
<td>qjCzojm</td>
<td>aCzojim</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>6/6=0.86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>بابا</td>
<td>qpxmlj</td>
<td>qpxmlj</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ضمان</td>
<td>ezhle</td>
<td>ezhae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>مام</td>
<td>qDe</td>
<td>qDe</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>د jur</td>
<td>Azz</td>
<td>Azz</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oui</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Samples for Structural Features Extraction